Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2013/06/24 : CIA-RDP91B00776R000400080012-7

The Director of Central Intelligence Washington, D.C. 20505

National Intelligence Council

NIC-01823-88

	17 May 1988					
MEMORANDUM FOR:	Deputy Director of Central Intelligence					
THROUGH:	Chairman, National Intelligence Council					
FROM:	Charles E. Allen National Intelligence Officer for Warning					
SUBJECT:	Support to State Department - Possible Chemical Attacks on Iran or Iraq Capital	25X				
response to a request of the likelihood that capital. There is Cobut that the potentia 2. The Departions following a 28 were differences be Asian Affairs over which should be taken to possible installation.	is a warning paper forwarded to the Department of State in st from the Bureau of Diplomatic Security for a written assessment t either Iran or Iraq will resort to chemical attacks on the other's ammunity consensus that the likelihood of such an attack is small, I exists and may be increasing. It was a statement in writing because of internal discustance of the state	25X 25X 25X				
Attachment: Warning Issue						
		25X				



DISSEMINATION CONTROL ABBREVIATIONS

NOFORN-

Not Releasable to Foreign Nationals

NOCONTRACT-

Not Releasable to Contractors or

Contractor/Consultants

PROPIN-

Caution-Proprietary Information Involved

ORCON-

Dissemination and Extraction of Information

Controlled by Originator

REL . . .-

This Information has been Authorized for

Release to . . .

National Intelligence Council

National Intelligence Officer for Warning

13 May 1988

WARNING ISSUE

IRAN/IRAQ: Chemical Attacks on Cities?

The NIO for Warning is concerned over the possibility that either Iran or Iraq will initiate chemical attacks on the other's capital and that the victimized country will retaliate in kind.

While the likelihood of either side resorting to chemical attacks on principal cities is assessed by the Intellience Community to be small—the retaliatory capability of each providing a significant deterrent—the potential for this low probability, but high impact, event exists. We are unlikely to have warning of imminent attack.

Several factors and recent events prevent us from ruling out the possibility of chemical attacks in which Western diplomats and other civilians could be at risk, including:

- o Extensive recent battlefield use of chemical munitions;
- o Muted world response to the deaths of perhaps several thousand Kurdish non-combatants in March, which has left the impression of low international political cost arising from such attacks;
- o The "war of the cities" using ballistic missiles--now in ceasefire after more than 200 total strikes;
- o Evidence that Iran is preparing its people for chemical attacks; and
- Unknowns about what is driving the decisionmaking of the two sides: Iraq has behaved in an uncharacteristically decisive and aggressive way of late; and we know that there is a heated debate in Tehran over how to respond to recent military setbacks. Should radicals prevail, they might opt for escalation by retaliating with chemical attacks against Iraqi cities.

Both governments have chemical capable delivery systems. Iran has 125 and 250 kilogram bombs containing mustard and cyanide that can be delivered by F-4 and F-5 aircraft. Iraqi aircraft last bombed Tehran with conventional weapons in late February, an action that led directly to the missile war of the

25X1

25X1

cities. They also have bombs up to 500 kilograms for delivering mustard and nerve agents from Soviet or French-built aircraft.

Iran might realize that in such an exchange it would suffer disproportionally, while Iraq would have more difficulty denying chemical attacks of Iranian cities than it has had in deflecting allegations of chemical use on the battlefield. Nevertheless, as more nations acquire a chemical capability, the threat of either intentional or unintentional exposure of large civilian population centers to chemical attack increases, especially in areas such as Iran and Iraq, where a demonstrated willingness to use both chemicals and long-range delivery systems are present.

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET								
SUBJECT: (Optional) Warning Iss	ue							
FROM: Charles E. Allen NIO/Warning			EXTENSION	NO. NIC-01823-88				
7B 42 Headquarters				17 May 1988				
O: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	,DA	TE FORWARDED	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)				
1. VC/NIC	May	7 5709	120	3->9: 1306,				
2.			~0	We worked this issue				
3. C/NIC	18 May	88	RE	3-> 9: 1306. We worked this issue over pretty carefully and I'm pakisful that we get the right tone.				
4.	10 mily	•	,	we get flu right tone.				
5. DDI Registry	O/D	DI R	EG					
5 .	LO	GGE	D —					
7. Executive Registry	1 8 M	Y 1988	Jal					
8.			V					
9. DDCI)	(9 4	an)	Y	·				
0.			U					
1.								
2.								
3.		:						
4.								
5.				·				

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2013/06/24 : CIA-RDP91B00776R000400080012-7

FORM 1–79

610 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

